

WWW.AZCLIMATECHANGE.US

Transportation and Land Use Sector GHG Reduction Policy Options

PREPARED FOR TWG CALL #7, FEBRUARY 22, 2005, 9:00-10:30 A.M.

Potential Emission Reductions *

High (H): At least 1 Million Metric Tons (MMT) carbon dioxide equivalent (CO₂e) per year by 2020 (~1% of current AZ emissions) **Medium (M):** From 0.1 to 1 MMT CO₂e per year by 2020

Low (L): Less than 0.1 MMT CO₂e per year by 2020

Uncertain (U): Not able to estimate at this time

Potential Cost or Cost Savings *

High (H): \$50 per Metric Ton CO₂e (MTCO₂e) or above

Medium (M): \$5-50/MTCO₂e **Low (L):** Less than \$5/MTCO₂e

Cost Savings: Options that save money, i.e., that have

"negative costs."

Uncertain (U): Not able to estimate at this time

Definition of Priorities for Analysis:

- **High:** High priority options will be analyzed first.
- **Medium:** Medium priority options will be analyzed next, time and resources permitting.
- Low: Low priority options will be analyzed last, time and resources permitting.

^{* &}quot;Potential" here connotes rough initial estimate based in part on experience in other states. Also, several measures may overlap in terms of emissions reductions and/or cost impacts. Estimates assume measures would be implemented independently from other measures.

^{**} Options marked with a double asterisk (**) indicate options that are at least partially "base case" policies, i.e., that have been or will be implemented at some level in Arizona.

Option No.	GHG Reduction Policy Option	Priority for Analysis	Potential GHG Emissions Reduction	Potential Cost or Cost Savings	Ancillary Impacts, Feasibility Considerations	Notes
1.	PASSENGER VEHICLE	7 triary 515	readollori	Odvingo	T casionity considerations	140.00
••	GHG EMISSION RATES					
1.1	Vehicle Technology					
1.1.1	California GHG Emission Standards for Light-duty Vehicles	Н	Н	L	Opinions vary sharply on cost. Legal challenge pending.	Option reviewed by CCAG
	California LEV-2 Emission Standards (option: w/ or w/out Advanced Technology Component)	Н	L	L/M	May be attractive as SIP option due to reduction in conventional air pollution	
1.1.3	State R&D on Low-GHG Vehicle Technology (e.g., fuel cell)	L	L	?	Best coupled w/ federal dollars	
1.1.4	Add-on Technologies (Low Friction Oil, Low-Rolling Resistance Tires)	М	L	Cost Savings/L		
1.2	Vehicle Operation					
1.2.1	Lower and/or Enforce Speed Limits	M	L	?		
1.2.2	Vehicle Maintenance, Driver Training	M	L	?		
1.2.3	Transportation System Management	TBD	?	?		
1.2.4	Deleted: Improved Traffic Flow	TBD	?	?		Measure added by CCAG; TWG recommends deleting measure after determining that this measure is already accounted for in other measures including 1.2.3 and 4.2.3
1.3	Incentives & Disincentives					
1.3.1	Deleted: Procurement of Efficient Fleet Vehicles [moved to new 3.4]					

			Potential	Potential		
			GHG	Cost or		
		Priority for	Emissions	Cost	Ancillary Impacts,	
Option No.		Analysis	Reduction	Savings	Feasibility Considerations	Notes
1.3.2	Feebates (state-specific or regional) [Charge a fee on purchases of relatively high-emitting vehicles and give a rebate on the purchase of relatively low-emitting vehicles. Overall, fees/rebates are revenue neutral.]	M	L/M	?	Considered in many states but not adopted.	
1.3.3	GHG-based registration fees	M	L	?		
1.3.4	Tax Credits for Fuel Efficient Vehicles	L	L	?	Federal tax code provides tax credits for alternative fuel vehicles	
1.3.5	Vehicle Scrappage	L	L	L/M	Pilots undertaken in several cities.	
1.3.6	Deleted: Support for Federal Windfall Profit Tax on Oil Companies [tax income to be used for efficient vehicle incentives]	TBD	٠٠	?		Measure added by CCAG; TWG recommends deleting measure after determining that this measure since a Federal tax is not appropriate for consideration by the TWG or CCAG
2.	LAND USE AND LOCATION EFFICIENCY					
2.1	General [Option: Treat these as options as a bundle]					
2.1.1	Infill, Brownfield Re-development	Н	Н	?	Arizona Brownfields Cleanup Revolving Loan Fund, Prospective Purchaser Agreement	Bundle reviewed by CCAG
2.1.2	Transit-Oriented Development	Н	Н	?		Bundle reviewed by CCAG
2.1.3	Smart Growth Planning, Modeling, Tools	Н	H	?	Growing Smarter Act [1998], Growing Smarter Plus Act [2000], Growing Smarter Oversight Council	Bundle reviewed by CCAG; in 12/12 CCAG meeting, CCAG recommended inclusion of heat island effects
2.1.4	Targeted Open Space Protection	Н	Н	?		Bundle reviewed by CCAG

Option No.	INCREASING LOW-GHG	Priority for Analysis	Potential GHG Emissions Reduction	Potential Cost or Cost Savings	Ancillary Impacts, Feasibility Considerations	Notes
3.1	Increase Transportation Funding for Efficient Modes					
3.1.1	Make better use of CMAQ funds	Н	L	L	AZ has 90% obligation rate.	Included in Mulitmodal Transit bundle
3.1.2	Expand Transit Infrastructure (rail, bus, BRT), Improve Transit Service, Promotion, and Marketing [subsumes previous 9/21 matrix items 3.1.2, 3.1.3, 3.1.5]	Н	М	M/H	Light rail project approved for Phoenix-Mesa-Tempe [\$1.3 billion / 20 miles]. Target date of 2008. ADOT public transit grant funds are targeted at rural and special needs users.	Included in Mulitmodal Transit bundle
3.1.3	Bike and Pedestrian Infrastructure	Н	L/M	?	ADOT Bike and Pedestrian Program www.azbikeped.org/	Included in Mulitmodal Transit bundle
3.1.4	HOV lanes	L	L	?		
3.1.5	"Fix-it-First" [Earmark transportation funds toward the repair of existing transportation network before funding new transportation infrastructure]	L	L/M	?		

			Detential	Detection		
			Potential GHG	Potential Cost or		
		Priority for	Emissions	Cost	Ancillary Impacts,	
Option No.	GHG Reduction Policy Option	Analysis	Reduction	Savings	Feasibility Considerations	Notes
	Transit Prioritization (signal	L	L	?	r casibility considerations	140103
	prioritization, HOV lanes)	-	_	•		
-	Telecommute and Live-Near- Your-Work	L	L/M	?	See esp. Pima County's Voluntary No-Drive Day. A does some encouragement telecommuting on bad air a quality days.	
3.1.8	Car sharing / car pooling	L	L/M	?		
	E-Commerce	L	L	?		
3.2	Incentives & Disincentives					
	Employer-provided Commuter Incentives (transit passes, , vanpools, preferential parking) [includes "Parking Cash Out" an employer that offers free parking also offers the parking subsidy in cash)	L	?	?		
3.2.2	VMT Tax [tax on miles driven]	L	L/M	?		
	Pay As You Drive Insurance [part of a vehicle's insurance premium is determined by annual miles driven]	L			Revenue neutral to drivers a whole	
	Increased Fuel Tax (w/ targeted use of revenue towards travel alternatives)	Н	-	?		CCAG (12/12/05 meeting)requested that a fuel tax be evaluated with revenue from tax used to support other GHG- reducing options; option had been ranked as L by TWG; recommend that this be evaluated in Cross-Cutting TWG
	Location-Efficient Mortgages [favorable mortgage terms reflecting lower cost-of-living in mixed-use communities near public transportation	M	L	?		J -
	Congestion Pricing (or tolls) (w/ targeted use of revenue towards travel alternatives)	M	?	?		

			Potential	Potential		
		Priority for	GHG Emissions	Cost or Cost	Ancillary Impacts,	
Option No.	GHG Reduction Policy Option	Analysis	Reduction	Savings	Feasibility Considerations	Notes
3.2.7	Parking Pricing or Supply Restrictions	L	?	3 -	,	
	Transit Pricing Incentives	L	?			
	GHG Offset Requirements for Large Developments [Require developer to offset GHG emissions attributable to a development]	L	М			
	Benefits for Low GHG Vehicles (e.g., preferential parking, use of HOV lanes)	М	L			
3.3	Fuel Measures					
3.3.1	Low-GHG Fuel Standard (e.g., minimum ethanol or biodiesel content)	M	Н	L/M	TBD	Addressed by Federal Energy Bill
	Deleted: Low-GHG Fuel for State Fleets (e.g., ethanol, biodiesel, compressed natural gas (CNG), electric). Moved to 3.4.1.	M				
	Biofuel expansion (biodiesel, CNG, LPG, cellulosic ethanol)	Н	М	L/M	Some CNG bus expansion in public transit, school districts, and at airports	Option ranked high by Alternative Fuels Subgroup—full TWG needs to approve ranking. Concerned with quality of biofuels and vehicle performance issues when using these fuels; reduces fuel economy
	Expand Alternative Fuel Infrastructure Development (e.g. hydrogen, CNG)	М	L	n/a		Need to be sure that emissions from production of alt fuels don't exceed in-use emission reductions; may need R&D for future development of these fuels
	Standards and Enforcement for Biodiesel and Ethanol Fuels	Н			This measure is needed in order for other fuel measures to be successful.	Added by Alternative Fuels Subgroup; option needs to be approved by TWG
3.4	Fleet Vehicles					

Option No.		Priority for Analysis	Potential GHG Emissions Reduction	Potential Cost or Cost Savings	Ancillary Impacts, Feasibility Considerations	Notes
3.4.1	Low-GHG Fuel for State Fleets (e.g., ethanol, biodiesel, compressed natural gas (CNG), electric)	M	٦	L/M		Already in place in some areas of State
	Promote Low-GHG Fuel for Private Fleets	Н	L	L/M		Option ranked high by Alternative Fuels Subgroup— full TWG needs to approve ranking.
4.	FREIGHT					
4.1	Vehicle Technology					
	Vehicle Technology Improvements (e.g., engines, aerodynamics)	L	L	?	New EPA emission standards for heavy-duty engines take effect in 2007.	
4.1.2	Voluntary diesel retrofit program	L	L	L/M	See EPA National Clean Diesel Campaign	Pre-88 diesel vehicles are prohibited in nonattainment areas in Arizona;
4.1.3	Low-sulfur diesel	L	L	H	New EPA fuel standards for low-sulfur diesel take effect in 2006.	
	Black carbon control technologies (e.g., use of particulate traps, other complementary technologies)	L	•	М	Large co-benefits in PM reduction	Some existing programs in place in Arizona, encountered operational problems with retrofits
4.1.5	Obtain EPA Funds for State Vehicle Retrofits [encourage State to pursue EPA grants for retrofits on State vehicles]	M	L	?		Option added 10/26. Work at obtaining experience in State with diesel retrofits and find solutions to common operational problems. Note importance of fuel/technology interplay.
4.2	Vehicle Operation [Option: Treat these as options as a bundle]					
4.2.1	Freight Logistics Improvements/GIS	M	M			
4.2.2	Enforce Speed Limits	M	M			

Option No.		Priority for Analysis	Potential GHG Emissions Reduction	Potential Cost or Cost Savings	Ancillary Impacts, Feasibility Considerations	Notes
4.2.3	Improve Traffic Flow	M	M			Encourage use of Phoenix bypass
	Increased Size & Weight of Trucks	L	?			State doesn't currently have authority to regulate this. However, if a regional or national movement to change this takes place, AZ should reconsider this as an option.
4.2.5	Pre-clearance at Scale Houses	M	L			
4.2.6	Promote Idle Reduction Technologies [includes truck stop electrification]	Н	М			
	Enforce Anti-Idling	Н	М			Current anti-idling ordinance in place in Maricopa County, but not enforced.
4.2.8	Intermodal Freight Initiatives [increase rail use through better intermodal connections]	N/A	М		See e.g. EPA SmartWay program	Not applicable for AZ. No railroad through Phoenix.
4.3	Incentives & Disincentives					
4.3.1	Deleted: Procurement of Fuel Efficient Fleet Vehicles (public, private or other) [combined w/ 3.4.2 above]					
4.3.2	Incentives to Retire or Improve Older Less Efficient Vehicles**	L	L			Existing prohibition on pre-1988 diesel engines in Arizona nonattainment areas.
4.3.3	Maintenance and Driver Training [to improve fuel efficiency]	M	L			
4.3.4	Increased Truck Tolls or Highway User Fees	L	L			
4.4	Intercity Travel: Aviation, High Speed Rail, Bus					
4.4.1	Deleted: High-speed Rail [combined with 3.1.5]					
4.4.2	Integrated Aviation, Rail, Bus Networks	n/a	M			

Option No.	GHG Reduction Policy Option	Priority for Analysis	Potential GHG Emissions Reduction	Potential Cost or Cost Savings	Ancillary Impacts, Feasibility Considerations	Notes
	Aircraft emissions [improved operation of aircraft and runway management]	n/a	L			
4.4.4	Use of Alternate Fuels in Airport Ground Equipment	L	L			
	Off-Road Vehicles (construction equipment, out- board motors, ATVs, etc)					
4.5.1	Incentives for Purchase of Efficient Vehicles/Equipment	L	L			
4.5.2	Improved Operations, Operator Training	L	L			
4.5.3	Maintenance Improvements	L	L			
4.5.4	Increased Use of Alternative Fuels or Low Sulfur Diesel	L	L			